


BOROUGH COUNCIL OF KING'S LYNN AND WEST NORFOLK

RECORD OF DECISION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED POWERS

This is a record of a decision taken by the officers under delegated powers and where necessary taken in consultation with members and officers.

<p>Delegated Power Specify the particular delegated power being exercised by reference to the Delegation Scheme or Cabinet minute and date.</p> <p>Scheme of delegation para 9 "To exercise any power to protect the interests and well being of the inhabitants of the Borough in cases of emergency"</p>
<p>Decision Taken Specify precise details of the decision taken</p> <p>Cabinet under minute CAB 136/2013 resolved to approve an application to the Heritage Lottery Fund in relation to proposals for a Public Realm Scheme to enhance the Town Hall and surrounding area.</p> <p>The exercise of the delegation is to accept the grant awarded by the Heritage Lottery Fund relating to the scheme as approved.</p>
<p>Reasons for the Decision Specify all reasons for taking the decision</p> <p>The acceptance of the grant funding is an administrative step required by the Heritage Lottery Fund as part of the grant conditions which is needed to be taken quickly to enable the works to commence.</p>
<p>Options considered</p> <p>Urgent item to Cabinet (waiting until the next Cabinet meeting would result in potential loss of the grant)</p>
<p>Any declarations of interest and details of any dispensations granted in respect of interests.</p> <p>None</p>
<p>Authorisation</p> <p>Signature </p> <p>Date 9-7-14</p>
<p>Consultation with members/officers If the decision is taken following consultation with the members/officers, please give details: Signed by Member as consulted: N/A</p>
<p>Date 9/7/14</p>



**Pre-Screening Equality Impact
 Assessment**

Name of policy/service/function	Heritage				
Is this a new or existing policy/ service/function?	Existing				
Brief summary/description of the main aims of the policy/service/function being screened. Please state if this policy/service rigidly constrained by statutory obligations	To accept a Grant No				
Question	Answer				
<p>1. Is there any reason to believe that the policy/service/function could have a specific impact on people from one or more of the following groups according to their different protected characteristic, for example, because they have particular needs, experiences, issues or priorities or in terms of ability to access the service?</p> <p>Please tick the relevant box for each group.</p> <p>NB. Equality neutral means no negative impact on any group.</p>		Positive	Negative	Neutral	Unsure
	Age			x	
	Disability			x	
	Gender			x	
	Gender Re-assignment			x	
	Marriage/civil partnership			x	
	Pregnancy & maternity			x	
	Race			x	
	Religion or belief			x	
	Sexual orientation			x	
	Other (eg low income)				
Question	Answer	Comments			
2. Is the proposed policy/service likely to affect relations between certain equality communities or to damage relations between the equality communities and the Council, for example because it is seen as favouring a particular community or denying opportunities to another?	No				
3. Could this policy/service be perceived as impacting on communities differently?	No				
4. Is the policy/service specifically designed to tackle evidence of disadvantage or potential discrimination?	No				
<p>5. Are any impacts identified above minor and if so, can these be eliminated or reduced by minor actions? If yes, please agree actions with a member of the Corporate Equalities Working Group and list agreed actions in the comments section</p>	Yes	Actions:			
		Actions agreed by EWG member:			
Assessment completed by: Name	E Duncan				
Job title Interim Legal Services Manager	Date 9/7/14				

Please Note: If there are any positive or negative impacts identified in question 1, or there any 'yes' responses to questions 2 – 4 a full impact assessment will be required.

